CCCB Regular Meeting Transcript March 21, 2024

```
WEBVTT
1 "" (0) agenda
00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.997
2 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:01.997 --> 00:00:05.159
At 10:38:a m.
3 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:05.159 --> 00:00:10.000
Start off, uh, Mark present.
4 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:00:10.000 --> 00:00:12.304
Matt border.
5 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:12.304 --> 00:00:16.409
Michael Brown.
6 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:16.409 --> 00:00:22.680
Parsons, Michael.
7 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:22.680 --> 00:00:26.560
Mitchell, excuse me now Michael Mitchell's here.
8 "mitchell kannry" (939595520)
00:00:26.560 --> 00:00:29.693
Miller.
9 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:29.693 --> 00:00:30.669
Yep, on here.
10 "Harrison Miller" (3166423040)
00:00:30.669 --> 00:00:35.732
Casey stakeholder present.
11 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:00:35.732 --> 00:00:37.850
Uh.
12 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:37.850 --> 00:00:42.120
Piano is there anything.
13 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:42.120 --> 00:00:49.350
Jason right present Jefferies.
14 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:00:49.350 --> 00:00:55.849
That's I think it's a.
15 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:00:55.849 --> 00:01:00.600
Her dad married dad I apologize. This is here.
16 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:01:00.600 --> 00:01:06.533
I see I, someone's name. Yep, he left.
17 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:01:06.533 --> 00:01:11.672
```

```
He's probably having a technical difficulty. Okay, Joe causee. Joel's
here.
18 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:01:11.672 --> 00:01:13.746
Hey, Joel. Hey, Joel's here.
19 "Joel Causey " (3991671040)
00:01:13.746 --> 00:01:17.692
Excellent. All right.
20 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:01:17.692 --> 00:01:33.090
Let me go back and start sharing the agenda as well as.
21 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:01:33.090 --> 00:01:50.790
Uh, huh.
22 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:01:50.790 --> 00:02:02.760
All right, we should be. Okay I have the agenda up. Thank you. All right.
Uh, for for today's, uh, today's meeting, I wanted to, uh, make a, um.
23 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:02:02.760 --> 00:02:10.260
Put the, uh, meeting agenda up for vote a, as is.
24 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:02:18.780 --> 00:02:23.906
Uh, yeah, so okay. Um.
25 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:02:23.906 --> 00:02:30.049
Under 3 were missing Jeffries as a member of the board on the expiration.
26 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:02:30.049 --> 00:02:34.627
We corrected that.
27 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
00:02:34.627 --> 00:02:37.890
He's number 13 no, no, no.
28 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:02:37.890 --> 00:02:40.285
No, no, no, no, no, no, no. Oh, no.
29 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:02:40.285 --> 00:02:42.588
No, no, no, I apologize. I, I mean.
30 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:02:42.588 --> 00:02:52.249
This is what was on the call that was the old if you have added him to
this, then we would, uh, amend the agenda. Let's.
31 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:02:52.249 --> 00:02:56.190
Do that? No, it it was added. I think I'm pulling from an old.
32 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:03:07.140 --> 00:03:11.130
Then it then it will not be adopted as written.
33 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:03:14.880 --> 00:03:18.660
This is what's on the open DC website? Um.
34 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:03:18.660 --> 00:03:24.960
```

```
And unfortunately, I didn't leave out Jeff Reese. Um, he is a district
emplovee.
35 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:03:31.655 --> 00:03:35.538
Mark would make a motion to add that to the agenda. Thank you.
36 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:03:35.538 --> 00:03:37.255
Was written.
37 "Joel Causey " (3991671040)
00:03:37.255 --> 00:03:40.816
Full 2nd, the motion, thank you.
38 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:03:40.816 --> 00:03:50.730
Favor aye. Aye aye opposed.
39 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:03:53.796 --> 00:04:13.293
A quick item on the agenda. Um, Chris, you noted, as we had, some of us
had noted before by looking on the Web site that Michael Brown is not
noted as a current member and I was wondering if that's something that,
um, can get corrected at. Um.
40 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:04:13.293 --> 00:04:27.899
It is something that I'm looking into. I have a thank you from them, but
it's something that I need to get verification on. Um, he has been
submitted. I do have an approval, but it has not been updated on their
side of it. So it's.
41 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:04:27.899 --> 00:04:31.822
Administrative got it. Thank you. Yeah.
42 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
00:04:31.822 --> 00:04:39.701
Curious when I resigned, because I've been committed. Yeah.
43 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:04:39.701 --> 00:04:45.722
You have yes, you cannot back out so, and this going.
44 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:04:45.722 --> 00:04:49.305
Have to be committed to be part of this group, so yeah, I mean.
45 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
00:04:49.305 --> 00:04:56.342
That from you, Mark? Yes, sir. All right.
46 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:04:56.342 --> 00:05:05.099
I'm going to bring up the meeting minutes from the February 8, 2024 that
were deferred. Uh, because there were errors.
47 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:05:05.099 --> 00:05:11.309
And omissions on them, let me see if I can drag that into the screen. So
you all can see.
48 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:05:30.922 --> 00:05:37.462
So we voted so we've approved the meeting then the agenda sorry we
approved the yeah.
49 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
```

```
00:05:37.462 --> 00:05:41.241
The agenda to February meeting minutes.
50 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:05:41.241 --> 00:05:42.644
Great Thank you.
51 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:05:42.644 --> 00:05:47.189
Meeting minutes were unapproved because they were missing some elements
1. it was the.
52 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:05:47.189 --> 00:06:07.189
Board members that were present as well as there were some issues with
the transcript with information, not being shown. Um, and of course the
code change proposals since there were none we have and this will be a
standard format. Let me zoom out a little bit. So you can say, yeah, this
will be a standard form of going forward. We'll if we are not.
53 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:06:07.189 --> 00:06:25.625
Bringing forward any proposals, it'll just be none in there instead of
just not having it on the agenda. And I think that will be a better so
that each meeting and each meeting's minutes will show what was brought
forward and any status of that, uh, that was voted on there.
54 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:06:25.625 --> 00:06:33.044
And Chris, what you're displaying is the, um, uh, minutes without the
revisions.
55 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:06:33.044 --> 00:06:38.485
No, this is with the revisions. This is no sir approved meeting minutes
for February.
56 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:06:38.485 --> 00:06:40.725
57 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
00:06:40.725 --> 00:06:43.189
Hello.
58 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:06:43.189 --> 00:06:48.524
Can you know.
59 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:06:48.524 --> 00:06:54.631
Doesn't have, uh, the corrections I don't think it.
60 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:06:54.631 --> 00:07:00.479
This is the correct version. The original February 8th did not have.
61 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:07:00.479 --> 00:07:09.719
As I just went through the board members listed and present, and it did
not have the transcript, uh, information.
62 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:07:09.719 --> 00:07:16.032
Uh, and the links, as as you see here for each of the topics, and it did
not have the code change proposal recommendation.
63 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
```

```
00:07:16.032 --> 00:07:23.466
I made some revisions to the minutes that I sent them to you vesterday
and they're not shown in this.
64 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:07:23.466 --> 00:07:28.008
Yesterday, no, these were these were put out on Monday.
65 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:07:28.008 --> 00:07:40.751
Right they they do not. So if I need to go through all, I, I sent you a
revised meeting minutes yesterday morning. Can you give me and I also
sent them to.
66 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:07:40.751 --> 00:07:48.105
You give me a sense of what they were, because this is what the meetings
from the February 15th meeting brought up. Correct? So, I.
67 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:07:48.105 --> 00:07:52.019
I have amended both sets of minutes and they were sent to you yesterday
morning.
68 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:07:52.019 --> 00:07:57.539
Until the trees.
69 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:07:57.539 --> 00:08:05.062
And I'd be happy to to find them and share them if that would be easier
on your end. I defer to, you.
70 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:08:05.062 --> 00:08:10.708
It it will be if it's if it's administrative or if it's it's missing
information. Yeah.
71 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:08:10.708 --> 00:08:15.189
Missing names, you know, things that are important for minutes.
72 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:08:15.189 --> 00:08:16.966
Is missing names, so.
73 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:08:16.966 --> 00:08:18.125
Let me.
74 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:08:18.125 --> 00:08:23.219
Please, let me see what you is it because this is everything that was
discussed in the 15 minute that we left out.
75 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:08:23.219 --> 00:08:26.519
So, I guess we missed what that was.
76 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:08:26.519 --> 00:08:29.702
Are you sending it or are you going to? Yeah, I'm trying to.
77 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:08:29.702 --> 00:08:36.899
Find it I'm not as clever as many people on this computer. Let me see,
this is the.
78 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
```

```
00:09:02.128 --> 00:09:05.069
And what about the February 15th meeting?
79 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:09:05.069 --> 00:09:08.609
I've got revisions to both I've pulled up the, um.
80 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:09:08.609 --> 00:09:11.849
1st, 1, may I share it with the board?
81 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:09:11.849 --> 00:09:23.425
Yes, and let me figure out how to do that share.
82 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:09:23.425 --> 00:09:28.527
The bottom yeah.
83 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:09:28.527 --> 00:09:34.439
These are my revisions and it includes missing people, you know, like
Jefferies.
84 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:09:42.544 --> 00:09:46.139
Is that it or what else? You guys? Yes.
85 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:09:59.159 --> 00:10:04.547
You've got the references in there? Yes, sir.
86 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:10:04.547 --> 00:10:11.789
And a little bit down here under, uh, new tech chairs that, you know, we
didn't need to notify you guys if we're having meetings.
87 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:10:16.889 --> 00:10:20.789
And then I can also show you the, uh, so these are the.
88 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:10:20.789 --> 00:10:30.051
You know, these were minor revisions, but and it included things like
identifying you as the board chair.
89 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:10:30.051 --> 00:10:38.308
Okay, Mark, um, that is that's information in the transcript. So, uh,
we're not adding some.
90 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:10:38.308 --> 00:10:43.344
Right. We are missing people on the lift. Chris. Please listen. Yeah.
91 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:10:43.344 --> 00:10:48.569
That's Jefferies. Yes.
92 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:10:48.569 --> 00:10:52.109
And that's what I'm understanding is the, the omission there.
93 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:10:56.710 --> 00:11:05.949
That is 1 of them and then, as I said here, these are the revised minutes
I sent to in you yesterday morning and I didn't hear any response back.
94 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:11:05.949 --> 00:11:08.394
```

```
Thank you also got a document.
95 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:11:08.394 --> 00:11:11.386
Missing names from the 15th.
96 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:11:11.386 --> 00:11:15.968
Okay, so we've, we will recommending that these be the minutes that we.
97 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:11:15.968 --> 00:11:18.970
We look at and approve.
98 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:11:18.970 --> 00:11:24.292
To review those. Absolutely. I'm not a vote. Yeah.
99 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:11:24.292 --> 00:11:44.508
And I'd be happy to scroll down so, in the in the names, Jefferies has
been changed and the other thing that we continued that we did before and
I defer to. And you, as I noted in my email, um, we've previously
alphabetize the people who attended. So, I, I did that, but, uh, as well.
100 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:11:44.508 --> 00:11:48.571
Thank you for that here is.
101 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:11:48.571 --> 00:11:55.773
The rest of the 1st page and I'll wait to hear from you, Chris before I
scroll down.
102 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:11:55.773 --> 00:12:02.312
Yeah, I'm I'm comparing, thank you.
103 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:02.312 --> 00:12:10.070
And I don't know why I did it in track changes, but it doesn't show up.
So I'm confused but.
104 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:12:10.070 --> 00:12:13.349
In in in this section, I notice.
105 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:12:13.349 --> 00:12:16.631
I don't notice any changes here, so.
106 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:16.631 --> 00:12:23.331
I the only thing I did under item 1, identify you as the board chair at
the very beginning.
107 "Jeff Viano" (3613232128)
00:12:23.331 --> 00:12:27.111
If you click that little red line, it should show the track changes.
108 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:27.111 --> 00:12:34.110
On the left I left next to the oh, yeah.
109 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:34.110 --> 00:12:39.930
Oh, because I'm also I'm not showing it's in simple. It's not showing
them all.
110 "Jeff Viano" (3613232128)
```

```
00:12:39.930 --> 00:12:45.851
Yeah, so now it should show all. So you see chair is yes. Yeah. Thank
you. Uh, huh.
111 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:45.851 --> 00:12:49.919
In blue are the changes I made Thank you. All right.
112 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:49.919 --> 00:12:53.549
So very few changes they're on the 1st page.
113 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:12:53.549 --> 00:13:01.049
And I just got rid of a redundancy on the 2nd page under 3 1.1.
114 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:13:06.329 --> 00:13:13.019
And added some things under, uh, point 2 that are in red.
115 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:13:18.929 --> 00:13:23.579
And those were my revisions to the, uh, February 8 minutes.
116 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:13:23.579 --> 00:13:29.129
Again, I tried to stay within the style that and Chris have set up.
117 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:13:35.328 --> 00:13:39.299
All right Thank you. Mark.
118 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:13:39.299 --> 00:13:46.349
All right, I I don't see any any changes that are.
119 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:13:51.899 --> 00:14:00.359
I can if we can accept this, because they're not changing any of the, the
transcript and it's just adding some more clarification. There's, there's
no substance, um, of the actual.
120 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:14:00.359 --> 00:14:04.619
Transcript or the items there just clarification.
121 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:14:04.619 --> 00:14:10.956
Um, and I get a vote to.
122 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:14:10.956 --> 00:14:15.673
Yeah, I would move to accept the minutes Mark and.
123 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:14:23.733 --> 00:14:29.429
All right, um, I'll do a little different, uh, any opposed.
124 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:14:32.549 --> 00:14:39.474
Passes okay, Mark can you bring up? Um, yes, sir.
125 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:14:39.474 --> 00:14:43.019
I'm working on the 15th. You'll have it in a 2nd people. Yep.
126 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:14:43.019 --> 00:15:03.019
Thank you for your patience.
127 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
```

```
00:15:03.019 --> 00:15:12.179
Almost there.
128 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:15:27.419 --> 00:15:37.919
Here's the 15th.
129 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:15:50.429 --> 00:15:54.419
And here, it's showing the changes where.
130 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:15:54.419 --> 00:15:59.858
Um, Joe was there in red here?
131 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:15:59.858 --> 00:16:00.756
I see.
132 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:00.756 --> 00:16:04.529
And again, real simple items. Nothing substantive issue said, but.
133 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:04.529 --> 00:16:10.357
And I and I alphabetized.
134 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:10.357 --> 00:16:15.779
Without using track changes, cause I figured that just made it messy.
135 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:15.779 --> 00:16:22.709
And I, I believe Nicole is our CBO, not our deputy. So I changed that.
136 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:22.709 --> 00:16:25.949
Yes, and.
137 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:25.949 --> 00:16:28.979
Then just added the rest of the, uh.
138 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:28.979 --> 00:16:39.458
Under the list of the, uh, codes that we reviewed, I added the remainder
of them and that was it sir. Excellent.
139 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:39.458 --> 00:16:44.739
So, Mark would make a motion if if you're okay with these as the author,
140 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:16:44.739 --> 00:16:50.489
No, I've just compared I've got my screen open to what was the uploaded.
141 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:16:50.489 --> 00:16:53.519
Versus what yours is and I'm, I'm in.
142 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:16:53.519 --> 00:16:58.941
I'm in agreement with some of the changes that you've made all of the
changes that you've made. Thank you. Um.
143 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:16:58.941 --> 00:17:02.082
And do I need to send them to you guys again for posting or.
144 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
```

```
00:17:02.082 --> 00:17:05.694
Yeah, because we, we will have to do an approved upload.
145 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:17:05.694 --> 00:17:17.759
Right. Just like, we normally did Yep back into the past meetings that
how we handle it. So Mark would make a motion to approve the, uh,
February 15th.
146 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:17:17.759 --> 00:17:23.142
Meeting minutes as revised.
147 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:17:23.142 --> 00:17:24.957
Is there a 2nd.
148 "Joel Causey " (3991671040)
00:17:24.957 --> 00:17:27.938
I'll say I'll 2nd, it.
149 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:17:27.938 --> 00:17:31.199
Thank you.
150 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:17:31.199 --> 00:17:34.889
Uh, any opposed.
151 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:17:37.949 --> 00:17:43.161
Not hearing any motions passed and is approved.
152 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:17:43.161 --> 00:17:44.963
Thank you Chris.
153 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:17:44.963 --> 00:17:49.660
Thank you mark for your efforts to correct that.
154 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:17:49.660 --> 00:17:55.529
Uh, let me stop so you got the place back to your control.
155 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:18:06.339 --> 00:18:11.999
And move on to the next item, which is everybody's waiting for.
156 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:18:15.329 --> 00:18:25.769
Have a notice of proposed rulemaking now uh, I'm going to show you and
I'm bringing it up now, not on moving, moving the agenda out of the way.
157 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:18:31.349 --> 00:18:45.179
I want to thank everyone, uh, that was able to provide information on
some of the data that we had provided or that was missing, uh, throughout
the years. Um, we have been going through, uh, a really.
158 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:18:45.179 --> 00:18:51.899
Extensive just looking through files, looking through the folders going
through the logs.
159 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:18:51.899 --> 00:19:11.899
Start sharing, uh, in order to make sure that we've done our best in
order to find each, and every, uh, last meeting on the February 15th we
```

brought this up where we were asking individuals, you know, are all of the notices, uh, in are all on that notices all the proposals in.

160 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:19:11.899 --> 00:19:24.209

Verify verify against the log. Um, thank you for those that that we're able to, uh, help us and go through some of the points that were, uh, were found we did.

161 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:19:24.209 --> 00:19:44.209

Find some that were misplaced. Um, however, we are going through the notices for both route making 1 more time to verify that we've got everything locked in. This is something that's very, very critical to our future. Uh, as as the board moves forward into.

162 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:19:44.209 --> 00:19:53.639

The other rounds, we will need to make sure that the 1st round is as concise as accurate as complete.

163 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:19:53.639 --> 00:19:58.829

As possible because of the timetable.

164 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:19:58.829 --> 00:20:18.829

What happens is, is that, um, this notice has to go through several rounds of review. It has to go to, um, uh, the office of the mayor. It has to go to the attorney general's office. It has to go to the deputy mayor's office of, uh, operations and infrastructure has to go to.

165 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:20:18.829 --> 00:20:26.219

Protection it's got to go or a DLC DLC P licensing and consumer protection.

166 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:20:26.219 --> 00:20:43.619

It has to go through several reviews that take weeks and some of them are concurrent. Some of them are simultaneous, but we're looking at about 45 to 90 days. Once the rulemaking just gets to.

167 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:20:43.619 --> 00:20:51.149

Uh, and at that point, they will be looking at it for legal sufficiency prior to that.

168 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:20:51.149 --> 00:20:56.669

Uh, will not be looking at that so that time.

169 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:20:56.669 --> 00:21:16.669

Just keeps on growing, so the faster and the more complete that this is the more information can be done through checked, uh, double checked by those different agencies and getting and brought back to us. So that we can post for the 2nd, round of open comment that 2nd round.

170 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:21:16.669 --> 00:21:25.829

Open comment will allow not only the public, but the, the tax themselves to go through any of the issues that were.

171 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:21:25.829 --> 00:21:45.829

Withdrawn deferred. That were not put into this notice. That's something that the tags will have to work through and make sure that they are complete with their review of any of the new proposals. That come forward at that cycle. That cycle takes time itself. You know, and when we all know.

172 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:21:45.829 --> 00:21:49.589

That, you know, we're, we're meeting on a monthly basis.

173 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:21:49.589 --> 00:22:09.589

Uh, we have individuals that are working to try to get other proposals done and they're trying to get their actual work done so it's, it's a back and forth and we have to make sure that there's enough time for them to do their due diligence to make sure, they vet they review they get technical sufficiency oversight.

174 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:22:09.589 --> 00:22:29.589

They could take into the take into account the existing codes, the new codes coming forward so that they can make a proposal again to the board back to us for another vote. That's something that I really wanted to make sure that everyone understands the process is very lengthy and we need to get this notice done as fast.

175 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:22:29.589 --> 00:22:43.439

Possible so that we can start that training and make it leave the station so that we can handle it, uh, moving forward. So thanks everyone for their efforts and into that. And I'm going to pause.

176 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:22:43.439 --> 00:22:48.599

Uh, any questions on that, while I bring up.

177 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)

00:22:54.574 --> 00:23:06.959

Mr. chair if I may, I just want to flag. I sent this by email, but I didn't see a response. I just wanted to make sure it was on your radar. I noticed some discrepancies and, uh.

178 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)

00:23:06.959 --> 00:23:13.169

Commercial energy, uh, notice a proposed rule, making document in the box folder.

179 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)

00:23:13.169 --> 00:23:19.102

That I'd like to make sure get addressed so that we can put forward. What I think is the most accurate version.

180 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:23:19.102 --> 00:23:28.619

And that's that's why we're here. This is everything that we have and let me go to the box folder so that we can all talk of what we're talking about.

181 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:23:28.619 --> 00:23:36.089

All right Boston.

182 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:23:41.789 --> 00:23:59.669

Agreed so I'm gonna, um, in case, I'm going to get to you in just a 2nd, but I want to briefly show the, the level of effort that we put forth right now, because, uh, Pre, the previous meeting, we, we were missing some proposals. 183 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:23:59.669 --> 00:24:03.839 And I just want to show some of the some of the board that. 184 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:03.839 --> 00:24:09.419 Some of these were just just they were in the wrong place they were in the wrong folder. 185 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:09.419 --> 00:24:13.319 They were not properly identified. 186 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:13.319 --> 00:24:17.639 Um, and some, we had to even check against the meeting minutes. 187 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:17.639 --> 00:24:26.789 I mean, the residential had hardly any of their proposals uploaded into the rulemaking. 188 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:26.789 --> 00:24:35.879 The, um, uh, electrical wasn't created, uh, fuel gas had a few. Um, and I know, uh, Michelle, you had you had brought up. 189 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:35.879 --> 00:24:39.509 A concern that fire was missing a whole bunch. 190 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:39.509 --> 00:24:43.829 Just show you this is, I mean. 191 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:24:43.829 --> 00:24:55.949 We literally had to track down all of the ones that we're missing and thank you for your efforts for showing us where they were, or providing us the information that we needed to add those in case. It's the same to you. I'm getting to, you. 192 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:25:04.439 --> 00:25:08.699 Um, unfortunately, I wasn't able to turn the changes off on this. I'll, I'11. 193 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:25:08.699 --> 00:25:12.749 Get those track changes to it, but, uh, 1st, off. 194 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:25:12.749 --> 00:25:31.889 Were there any missing and that's where we're going to have to just touch because I want to make sure I can submit the notice of proposal making in a, in a format that can be reviewed. And that could be, um, identified with all of the information there.

195 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:25:31.889 --> 00:25:38.939

But if there's missing pieces of information, I cannot submit the, the notice we have.

```
196 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:25:38.939 --> 00:25:46.529
Only, the information that we have in our records, and unfortunately, our
records are not as good as we want thought.
197 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:25:46.529 --> 00:26:01.499
The approval folder did not have all of the approved proposals. The, uh,
the other folders there were some that were hidden. They were in
unapproved folders and we actually had to verify with the actual meeting
minutes.
198 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:26:01.499 --> 00:26:11.699
If this proposal was verified so Casey, to your point, is there anything
in here that you're finding that is missing? Uh, that's the 1st question.
The 1st ask.
199 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:15.928 --> 00:26:21.659
So, the, the version of the commercial energy.
200 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:21.659 --> 00:26:25.889
Rulemaking that is in the box folder now. Um.
201 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:25.889 --> 00:26:34.859
I don't believe that it is outright missing proposals, but I did send you
it does have.
202 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:34.859 --> 00:26:38.699
Comments and questions and track changes, um.
203 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:38.699 --> 00:26:42.329
So, it's not a clean version. Um, got it.
204 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:42.329 --> 00:26:48.329
And I submit it to you a clean version in which I rescinded some of the.
205 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:26:48.329 --> 00:26:53.488
Comments or questions that I had left open, seeking confirmation by the
board. Okay.
206 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:26:53.488 --> 00:26:58.979
Um, there was there was 2 ish, 2 revisions that you sent me.
207 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:26:58.979 --> 00:27:04.439
The 1 that we're looking at here is the 1st, 1 you sent me or is this
because.
208 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:27:04.439 --> 00:27:09.928
We used Casey stockholders track changes in creating this.
209 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:27:09.928 --> 00:27:13.139
I think I've then sent through, um.
210 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:27:13.139 --> 00:27:17.789
Uh, beginning of the week or end of last week um.
```

```
211 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:27:17.789 --> 00:27:20.789
I think it was labeled version 3.
212 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:27:20.789 --> 00:27:25.739
Which was the clean final version in my understanding.
213 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:27:25.739 --> 00:27:31.610
Okay.
214 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:27:31.610 --> 00:27:38.699
Excellent. At least we know what's there um, this is where I get into.
215 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:27:38.699 --> 00:27:43.619
What we what we want to send and what we can send I am not sending.
216 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:27:43.619 --> 00:27:52.799
The, and then when I say, I'm not sending, I mean, you know, the, the
notice of both rulemaking does not include withdrawn or disapproved.
217 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:27:52.799 --> 00:28:12.799
Uh, proposals, so, if you are, if you have a proposal that's pending and
the board has not voted on it, it's not in here. And we're not going to
submit that if the, if That'll have to wait for the 2nd round in order
for that. Because there were some that I saw that were withdrawn, and I
didn't I wasn't going to bring those up for a vote until we took care of.
218 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:28:12.799 --> 00:28:17.459
The notice of proposals we're making, so if your version 3 has.
219 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:28:17.459 --> 00:28:32.459
Questions about unapproved on board board unapproved proposals. I,
I don't want to have those in here and we can talk and work offline to
get those corrected.
220 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:28:32.459 --> 00:28:36.570
But those will have to wait till the 2nd round in order for the board to
hear them. So.
221 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:28:36.570 --> 00:28:41.548
Understood yeah, I don't think that was the case for any of mine. Okay,
excellent.
222 "Jason Wright" (2965023232)
00:28:41.548 --> 00:28:50.159
Hey, Chris, this is Jason. I was had been left off the past couple
meeting minutes and agendas. Um, so I was not aware that there was.
223 "Jason Wright" (2965023232)
00:28:50.159 --> 00:28:54.089
This ongoing review, um, being done.
224 "Jason Wright" (2965023232)
00:28:54.089 --> 00:29:01.259
This box folder and knows the proposals we're making to make sure that
all approved the minutes are showing up in there. So.
225 "Jason Wright" (2965023232)
```

00:29:01.259 --> 00:29:05.759 I've got some work to do both for, I guess, accessibility and, uh, mass, 226 "Jason Wright" (2965023232) 00:29:05.759 --> 00:29:10.933 Um, amendments to make sure that those are in there and in there correctly. 227 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:29:10.933 --> 00:29:18.726 Uh, Jason, we have discussed this, like each meeting and there have been numerous emails that have gone out to the. 228 "Jason Wright" (2965023232) 00:29:18.726 --> 00:29:28.808 I know, yeah, I was left off those emails and meetings, so I missed the past 2 meetings because there wasn't included in the invites or the, the meeting minutes distribution. 229 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:29:28.808 --> 00:29:41.999 Hey, Jason and Chris. It's mark. Uh, Jason, thank you for that comment. I, I think we're probably okay on the accessibility. I did not look at the mass timber ones so that, uh, if you could start with those. 230 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:29:41.999 --> 00:29:45.128 Especially for the 2017, that would be great. 231 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:29:45.128 --> 00:29:52.791 I've, I've also looked at the mass timber, and they are in, uh, moved over to some of them. They can add it. Okay. 232 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:29:52.791 --> 00:29:54.606 Hey, great, thank you. Chris. 233 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:29:54.606 --> 00:30:14.489 So they're, they're not, there's not gonna, you know, when you look at this, you're gonna have to look at the building code where they reside or they, and even the structure the structure has been added to the building code because we're not submitting a structural notice a proposal we're making we're spending a building code notice so if you recall. 234 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:30:14.489 --> 00:30:24.329 Chris, as part of our work, we passed quite a few amendments to the current code and that that's what I was referring to for, uh, that Jason needs to really check. 235 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:30:24.329 --> 00:30:31.199 Because there are quite a few that, uh, end in the, uh, numbers Dash, 17. 236 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:30:35.519 --> 00:30:43.992 That would end up being a separate notice a proposed rulemaking from what we're doing now. And we're doing the most important 1 now, which is for the 23. 237 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:30:43.992 --> 00:30:47.999 Mark, if that's the case, then we may have to.

```
238 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:30:47.999 --> 00:30:53.768
There was some 17 that I added to the notice.
239 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:30:53.768 --> 00:30:58.079
Well, the 17th the 17th are not part of the 23.
240 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:30:58.079 --> 00:31:08.129
So, if you've added any 17 to the 23, they were added in, they may have
been added in error because those were to our current code.
241 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:31:08.129 --> 00:31:11.159
And they work heavily incomplete.
242 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:31:11.159 --> 00:31:17.689
But we don't need to to take the whole board's time with this effort.
243 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:31:17.689 --> 00:31:21.989
So, I have included some of the 17 in here, so.
244 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:31:21.989 --> 00:31:27.584
We'll have to we'll have to remove those out and submit them separately.
245 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:31:27.584 --> 00:31:31.819
Yeah, along with a whole host of other 17 amendments that.
246 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:31:31.819 --> 00:31:37.894
No, because I incorporated all of them into, because I was only going to
submit 13 notices and those there.
247 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:31:37.894 --> 00:31:48.929
I noticed this is, yeah, this is for the next code. What I'm talking
about is, um, the board, um, made a number of amendments to the current
code, which would be a separate, uh, independent notice.
248 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:31:48.929 --> 00:31:57.339
Got it, I believe, I mean, you guys are the government and the boss, but
that's my understanding of how this works.
249 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:31:57.339 --> 00:32:01.723
If they were in the previous code, and they're being carried forward.
250 "Jason Wright" (2965023232)
00:32:01.723 --> 00:32:03.216
No, they were not.
251 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:32:03.216 --> 00:32:07.259
No, these are amendments to the previous code.
252 "Jason Wright" (2965023232)
00:32:07.259 --> 00:32:08.540
To the current code.
253 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:32:08.540 --> 00:32:13.019
The current yes, yes. Yes. But are they being brought forward for the new
code?
```

```
254 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:32:13.019 --> 00:32:18.583
Yes, they, they were individually approved twice. Yep.
255 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:32:18.583 --> 00:32:19.541
Rude.
256 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:32:19.541 --> 00:32:31.322
Separate as separate code changes. Yeah. Okay.
257 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:32:31.322 --> 00:32:36.860
All right, well, you're going to find 17 littered through here so we're
going to have to go back.
258 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:32:36.860 --> 00:32:38.900
Okay, that's easy. 17. yeah.
259 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:32:38.900 --> 00:32:42.059
Yeah, I mean, it's I kept the designation, but.
260 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:32:42.059 --> 00:32:51.239
If it was voted on or approved, I tried to bring that into so that would
have a neat, you know, just 13. so, okay. We'll have to pull those out.
261 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:32:59.909 --> 00:33:05.941
This was the old 1. okay.
262 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:33:05.941 --> 00:33:13.564
And Chris, for your meeting minutes, I see that Gus has rejoined us.
263 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:33:20.539 --> 00:33:24.224
Oh, and Anthony dale's joined us too high Anthony.
264 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:33:24.224 --> 00:33:26.261
Hello, I been here for a while.
265 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:33:26.261 --> 00:33:28.861
Oh, sorry, I've been focused on other things.
266 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:33:28.861 --> 00:33:31.579
No.
267 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:33:31.579 --> 00:33:37.679
Excellent. I'm Just jotting that down in the in the minutes.
268 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:33:37.679 --> 00:33:44.819
Okay. All right so.
269 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:33:44.819 --> 00:33:53.939
Any other questions, any other, any other access problems, any other
information from, for the rule making that.
270 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:33:53.939 --> 00:34:13.939
```

```
Everyone has, and if you have not been given, because we've, we've tried
to do this many, many times. I need your contact information if what we
have on file is not right. I know we had a, uh, email issue, but we
stopped that and we used everybody's personal email. So there there
should not be an issue.
271 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:34:13.939 --> 00:34:17.721
Moving forward, uh, with with the communication.
272 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
00:34:17.721 --> 00:34:22.169
Chris, Hello, this is Joel. The only problem I'm having is, I can't get
into the box account.
273 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
00:34:22.169 --> 00:34:28.979
That was sent out and I don't know why I can't access it. Okay.
274 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:34:28.979 --> 00:34:33.842
You can't have sign up for Joel and then create a password.
275 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
00:34:33.842 --> 00:34:37.172
I think, yeah, I, I tried that and some reason it wasn't working, but.
276 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:34:37.172 --> 00:34:39.381
277 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
00:34:39.381 --> 00:34:46.321
I mean, maybe user error, but maybe if someone can call me and tell me
out with it.
278 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:34:46.321 --> 00:34:52.382
Excellent, thank you for that. And I'm going to get that fixed. All
right.
279 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:34:52.382 --> 00:34:55.529
Is there a chair? Is there a, um.
280 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
00:34:55.529 --> 00:35:03.323
Deadline or goals to have this wrapped up.
281 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:35:03.323 --> 00:35:15.059
Um, within a week ago, uh, my goal is March is to get this submitted this
month. Um.
282 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:35:15.059 --> 00:35:28.049
We got to bringing this all together, took a lot of effort. Um, a lot of
back and forth. There was missing information as some of you have already
pointed out. Um, there was, uh, information in the wrong folders.
283 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:35:28.049 --> 00:35:48.049
Uh, we had to go and we actually had to use 3 different documents to
verify whether or not a proposal was approved. And in some cases, we even
had to go back in time in the meeting minutes to then find out if an
unapproved, uh, proposal in the approved in the approved.
284 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
```

00:35:48.049 --> 00:35:54.959 Was actually approved because it was not listed as approved it. It was a huge. 285 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:35:54.959 --> 00:36:10.198 Uh, needle in haystack, um, effort to get this notice to where it is now. And, uh, even though I know Mark Casey, uh, and I think, uh, that was Jason, um, asking the questions of that. And, and I'm sorry. 286 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:36:10.198 --> 00:36:12.343 And Jeff piano helped as well. 287 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:36:12.343 --> 00:36:15.449 Oh, correct Thank you. I didn't mean to leave anybody out. 288 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:36:15.449 --> 00:36:35.039 I just wanted to say that. This is, this is huge, because we are we have to go section by section proposal. The proposal we have to make. Sure. That's in the right location. We have to make. Sure. It's been approved and we have to make. Sure, even if it was approved sometimes as as as the board had, we amended it with approval. 289 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:36:35.039 --> 00:36:55.039 We had to go back to the tag or go back to the, um, the source and find out if that actual proposal was amended per the direction of the board. So that was huge. Anthony, I see your hand 3rd. Um, that was a huge undertaken by multiple members of the staff. We did lose an individual to help us. 290 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:36:55.039 --> 00:37:00.749 Uh, through that, so we were, we were down a body to, uh, to assist. 291 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:37:00.749 --> 00:37:07.218 But we just started to pull resources from where we could in order to get that done. Uh, Anthony. Yeah. You're handling. 292 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:37:07.218 --> 00:37:20.121 Yes, sir. So just 2 questions. Um, that's okay as we are doing this draft, is that something that we are attaching to the transcripts or to our meeting minutes that is available to the public? That's the 1st question. 293 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:37:20.121 --> 00:37:23.909 Uh, no, the the. 294 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:37:23.909 --> 00:37:29.461 They notice a proposal making will not be available to the public until it's submitted. 295 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:37:29.461 --> 00:37:42.149 Okay, and then my 2nd question, I may have heard this wrong, but it sounds like that as we once we get to the public comment, period that we 296 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)

```
00:37:42.149 --> 00:37:46.003
That any unapproved proposals can be revisited at that point again.
297 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:37:46.003 --> 00:37:53.849
No, that's no this so the way and I'll talk about this during the, um,
portion, but.
298 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:37:53.849 --> 00:37:57.689
What's happening? Is that the board.
299 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:37:57.689 --> 00:38:17.689
Has made a rule, uh, has ruled on these proposals. These proposals are
going forward for legal sufficiency and they're going for, uh, checking
for, in the register by mayor's office by all those other agencies for,
uh, you know, correctness and all that stuff.
300 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:38:17.689 --> 00:38:31.140
Comes back for open public comment at which time all the stuff gets re,
looked at the, the public looks at it. They, and they're gonna comment,
you know, the, this, it's, it's open comment period. So.
301 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:38:31.140 --> 00:38:44.970
At that time, if a member of the public brings up an issue that was about
this, notice, they can, they can definitely bring that up the tag or the
technical advisory group in charge of that.
302 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:38:44.970 --> 00:39:00.180
Um, comment when this proposal will weigh the merits of it, there is a
process to receive those comments and that process in the, in the
technical advisory group will weigh it.
303 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:39:00.180 --> 00:39:21.179
W, W, what is the benefit to the city? Is it, um, uh, based upon, um, uh,
uh, life safety or the betterment? Or is it, uh, a common code from
Maryland Virginia that's being adopted our future code. Um, is it, uh,
relevant to a DC law or, or a, a, where.
304 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:39:21.179 --> 00:39:44.150
I hear that has jumped. Sorry I just want to be clear gentlemen part
here. It sounds like we're going to make the, we're going to submit the
rulemaking for for approval. Then we'll go to public comment team. So,
the comments should be on the, on the rules that we have already
approved, right? Not they're not going to be revisiting a series of
things that because we went over a series of rules that we.
305 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:39:44.150 --> 00:39:50.800
Disapprove that that's not in part a part of the record, right? It's only
the, the proposals that were actually approved by the board correct?
306 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:39:50.800 --> 00:39:56.880
So that's a very and this is a very niche point. So open comment, period.
307 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:39:56.880 --> 00:40:02.730
For the rulemaking is on the rule making that doesn't mean.
```

```
308 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:02.730 --> 00:40:07.890
That I just on your screen, let's look at, um.
309 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:07.890 --> 00:40:13.740
You know, 1:23:someone makes a comment.
310 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:13.740 --> 00:40:17.190
On that on that, um, proposal.
311 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:17.190 --> 00:40:20.760
And that proposal requires expansion or.
312 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:20.760 --> 00:40:31.920
Diving in more detail, or another proposal to make it work, because maybe
that proposal was written wrong or it did half of what it says it wanted
to do.
313 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:31.920 --> 00:40:41.370
That could, and that's where the tag has to use their, um, education and
expertise. Um.
314 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:40:41.370 --> 00:40:52.279
Their forum and their platform to determine whether or not the
modification of that. 5 dash 1 dash 23 amended by that. Public comment is
315 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:40:52.279 --> 00:41:01.370
Yeah, I, I totally understand that. Mr. chair. I think we're, we're
talking about 2 separate things. I totally understand. There is a rule
that we have proposed.
316 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:41:01.370 --> 00:41:17.940
In doing that public comment, period, if a resident says, hey, I think
that this rule needs to be more expansive because of this criteria or
this, or that I totally understand. That's not that's not my point. My
question is if there is a rule, we had a series of things that we went
over.
317 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:41:17.940 --> 00:41:21.150
If there is something that we, we disapproved.
318 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:41:21.150 --> 00:41:25.138
That has nothing to do with the proposal at hand are those.
319 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:41:25.138 --> 00:41:27.000
I saw this on a.
320 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:41:27.000 --> 00:41:34.523
Yes, this is Mark, they can be if they're brought up as an issue to the
to the document. Okay.
321 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:41:34.523 --> 00:41:40.442
```

```
I'm sorry, Mark, but thank you for that. But I thought that's what I said
it has to be an issue.
322 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:41:40.442 --> 00:41:44.440
I was just trying to see it a little differently. Chris. Sorry.
323 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:41:44.440 --> 00:41:52.880
Okay, I mean, I'm sorry, what was I not clear or was I was I'm trying to,
you know, I was trying to merge the lines.
324 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:41:52.880 --> 00:42:11.378
Yeah, for example, uh, Anthony, if, um, a member of the public, uh, still
desires of the electrification, uh, amendment to be considered, that
could be brought up as a comment by a member of the public during the
response to the notice the proposed.
325 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:42:11.378 --> 00:42:21.179
Yeah, so I get that, like, I'm agency, we have public commenting all the
time right? Just because a member of public makes a comment on something.
Don't mean we actually we revisit after we have approved.
326 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:42:21.179 --> 00:42:27.760
You revisit, we revisit it because that's becomes a, uh, another code
change to be considered.
327 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:27.760 --> 00:42:32.490
Hold on hold on. Hold on. Let me let me make a point.
328 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:32.490 --> 00:42:36.270
Us as the board does not revisit that.
329 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:36.270 --> 00:42:41.400
The technical advisory group does. Okay and they're the ones that make
the case.
330 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:41.400 --> 00:42:45.330
Whether it should be adhered and pushed forward.
331 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:45.330 --> 00:42:50.130
To a, to the board so that the board's not going to just.
332 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:50.130 --> 00:42:54.600
Here a comment without it being vetted by the tag.
333 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:42:54.600 --> 00:42:57.823
Right so I, I, I wanted to be very clear on that and Anthony.
334 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:42:57.823 --> 00:43:00.444
It sounds like we as the board would.
335 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:43:00.444 --> 00:43:02.580
That it was like, no, no, that would be the tag.
336 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:43:02.580 --> 00:43:10.100
```

Thank you Chris, it's just like our all of our code changes the board only votes on ones that the tag brings to us. 337 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:43:10.100 --> 00:43:29.570 Yeah, I appreciate your insight, Mark, and I appreciate your patients Mr. chair. I just think that, you know, this sounds like a very complicated process and this is my 1st year on the board. So I'll speak to my ignorance here. Right? That the board has spent a significant amount of time going through a series of. 338 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:43:29.570 --> 00:43:40.770 Proposals right, and for 1 member of the public to bring back a random proposal, and then to revisit in the tag when the tag in many cases, probably have already had the conversation around it. 339 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:43:40.770 --> 00:43:44.730 Seems like it is just extending the process further. 340 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:43:44.730 --> 00:43:55.620 Um, cause I will just say this from, from as being an agency, there are tons of things we talk about on planning commissions and things like that. That members of the public come back and have disagreements with. 341 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:43:55.620 --> 00:44:03.960 We as a, and C, and I can't remember remember the council bonus we, as agency don't continue to revisit revisit, revisit, revisit revisit right? 342 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:44:03.960 --> 00:44:13.650 Because that will always extend out. There is always, I'll just be candid. There's always going to have a comment about something right? And that we'll just continue to go on and go on and go on. Right? 343 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360) 00:44:13.650 --> 00:44:26.203 And so I think it's critical that we, that we find a way and maybe this is something our rules, but that we don't keep on revisiting things. And I know I'm not just talking about the notification thing. I'm just thinking about all the other things that we have. 344 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:44:26.203 --> 00:44:33.650 Yeah, and I don't mean to pick on electrification. Um, Anthony, it was just something that we spend a lot of time on. I think the. 345 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:44:33.650 --> 00:44:53.650 A thoughtful, uh, answer to your issue, which is a good 1 is that, um, during the review of the, uh, 2nd, of the notice, the tag generally spends much less time on it. Because, as you said, all the issues have been brought up, and if it does happen to get to the board, the board. 346 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)

00:44:53.650 --> 00:44:59.130 It also spends much less time and, you know, may be able to vote on it. The, the meeting it. 347 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)

00:44:59.130 --> 00:45:04.020

```
The 1st meeting that it's possible, because all the issues are known.
Yeah.
348 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:45:04.020 --> 00:45:10.440
Uh, I'd just like to add is that that tag and we're going to get into
this into the but.
349 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:45:10.440 --> 00:45:24.240
The tag has the ability to wait that comment and denied it based on it
merits. Um, you know, it, it's, I hope that it's not a oh, we have to re.
350 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:45:24.240 --> 00:45:33.450
Um, argue a case no, it's it's if, if someone is bringing up, uh, if a
member of the public or a body, or, um, anybody, it brings this up.
351 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:45:33.450 --> 00:45:46.680
And brings up that topic that topic is by the board, skewed by the tag is
reviewed on the merits that it should be even brought forward for a
proposal. And at that time that tech and decide whether or not.
352 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:45:46.680 --> 00:45:53.370
It's it's worth the time to investigate and review and then and engage.
353 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:45:53.370 --> 00:46:07.260
Or if that if that has already been answered, because if we're if we've
got an approval 5 dash 1 dash 23, as an example, we're not revisiting
that it's been approved. And that tag chair needs to make that decision
and say.
354 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:46:07.260 --> 00:46:18.638
You know, that proposal was approved, it's been, it's been sent is on the
2nd, we will hear any modification if it needs to be and if it doesn't
need to be, that's that's a moot point.
355 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:18.638 --> 00:46:25.020
Okay, great. Thank you. Thank you. And I just have 1 last question. Mr.
chairman I will yeah. Should be quiet. Here. I apologize.
356 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:25.020 --> 00:46:33.690
Just to your point there, so if a rule comes back to tag and there's
something, there's no substantial change to what we have already
discussed.
357 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:33.690 --> 00:46:39.930
Right. So if there is nothing significantly new that, that that would
change the decision that has already been made.
358 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:39.930 --> 00:46:47.340
My understanding from your comments, is that the tag chair or the tech,
and just disapproved, because the items that should be coming to us or
things that.
359 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:47.340 --> 00:46:55.440
```

```
If, uh, someone from the public brings us back up and the tag is
revisited, they're revisit it because there has been a substantial
360 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:55.440 --> 00:46:59.670
In something since we have our, since we have voted on that matter,
correct?
361 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:46:59.670 --> 00:47:08.212
That would be my thought, it wouldn't be just someone random we bring it
up, but it's something that has substantially changed since we have since
we vote on it last.
362 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:47:08.212 --> 00:47:28.762
And thank you for that. Um, and That'll be something discussed and
thought about with the members of the voting members of that tag. It's
not just the tag chair, but it is the voting members of the tech just
like open open government requires this public body to be open. So is the
tags and that discussion would be added.
363 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:47:28.762 --> 00:47:36.960
Level right I think my question I'm sorry this is taking so long, but I
think my question is that we, the tax operate, um.
364 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:47:36.960 --> 00:47:41.220
As a advisory to what? So, I think us giving them guidance on.
365 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:47:41.220 --> 00:47:47.280
How that process is done public comment period is central, right? We
don't.
366 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:47:47.280 --> 00:47:57.073
All I'm saying is, we should be revisiting things that have substantial
changes. Right? They are advisory group to us. They, they operate under
the guidance that we give them.
367 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:47:57.073 --> 00:48:01.890
You're bringing up revisions to the way the board has operated for.
368 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:48:01.890 --> 00:48:07.819
since two thousand and two two thousand and nine i'm not opposed to your
ideas but this would be a different way of doing things
369 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:48:07.819 --> 00:48:15.273
All right is there and I know we have rules for how the board operates I
think, is this is that process clearly laid out in how we in the.
370 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
00:48:15.273 --> 00:48:26.842
I don't think we get down into these, uh, this level of minutia because I
think in the past we've tried to give the board some flexibility and how
they deal with, um, matters.
371 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
00:48:26.842 --> 00:48:31.101
```

```
Okay, I won't belabor the boards time anymore. Those are just questions
Thank you so much.
372 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:48:31.101 --> 00:48:49.950
No, and Andy, thank you for that. And this will play in a little bit more
when we get deep into the, because there was a question about how the
tags operate and I think That'll help. We also have a link for our bylaws
on the website. Uh, that you can have, uh, a, once over it does go high
level.
373 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:48:49.950 --> 00:49:09.950
Uh, into that, but, uh, I hope that was helpful and informative. I do see
some questions in the chat. Um, there is a section, a section of the
meeting where we will address those specifically, but I do want to get
through the agenda, uh, as it's been approved. So, there is, there is a,
we will.
374 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:09.950 --> 00:49:13.650
Of these questions that I see in the chat at at its appropriate time.
375 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:16.980 --> 00:49:26.370
Okay, uh, any more any further discussion on the notice approval making
as it.
376 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:26.370 --> 00:49:30.210
As it stands, uh, knowing that there are some edits that.
377 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:30.210 --> 00:49:35.010
I had been found during this meeting and will need to be made prior to
its submission.
378 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:43.470 --> 00:49:46.560
All right we are moving through, um.
379 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:46.560 --> 00:49:49.800
We're on to
strative and some other matters here.
380 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:49.800 --> 00:49:54.810
Uh, I do want to introduce, uh, Nicole routers our CBO, uh.
381 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:49:54.810 --> 00:50:14.810
This is we, we tried this before. Unfortunately, she was pulled away for
a, uh, an emergency, but, uh, we have her here today. I'd like to 1st,
uh, uh, welcome. And thank her on behalf of the C suite board as well as
the, uh, the public body. That's, uh, present and hope that she could
say, just a few words about.
382 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:50:14.810 --> 00:50:24.879
To, um, her, uh, her appointment, uh, as this uh, excuse me uh, and, um,
Nicole are you.
383 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824)
00:50:24.879 --> 00:50:40.740
```

Yes, I'm here, I hope you all can hear me good morning. Everyone um, and thanks for including me in this discussion, I do plan to also participate in other meetings as well. Um, so just to introduce myself. 384 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:50:40.740 --> 00:50:55.680 I am Nicole Rogers, and I have been confirmed as the chief building official for the district. Um, as of October of this year I've been with district government since 2011. 385 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:50:55.680 --> 00:51:00.480 Mostly working with our former agency now. 386 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:51:00.480 --> 00:51:20.480 I've also spent time working in the office of risk management for 2 years and my time period working with now, I worked on the illegal construction side, construction inspection side, and also the plane review and. 387 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:51:20.480 --> 00:51:28.560 Side, so, um, I'm happy to be in this new role under director hanlon, and his vision for the agency. 388 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:51:28.560 --> 00:51:48.560 There's been a lot of moving parts as the, uh, has been established. And, um, I hope that through my time with the agency is and being, um, my goal is really to, to make our process and our regulatory requirements more transparent and. 389 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:51:48.560 --> 00:52:08.560 Um, so I look forward to working with all of you all, um, including the tags I also want to encourage additional participation. So, as I step into this role, and as we begin to formalize some of our structure within, um, that will be a part of. 390 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:52:08.560 --> 00:52:18.450 My initiative to make sure that the word is out for people to join at the tag level and to be involved as much as possible to make sure that. 391 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:52:18.450 --> 00:52:26.130 The regulations that come through the are as comprehensive and collaborative as possible. 392 "Nicole Rogers" (3415277824) 00:52:26.130 --> 00:52:37.020 For the safety of our district so thank you all. And I appreciate everything that you do, and look forward to continued involvement and engagement. 393 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:52:40.981 --> 00:53:01.400 Thank you so much Nicole, and I just want to we have always had either the the board level. We've always had a, um, the CBO or W, CBO. Um, and it's it's been very fortunate to have an individual that can make some decisions. 394 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:53:01.400 --> 00:53:21.400

Or, at least weigh in, especially the way the code is being created and propose to put forward based on, you know, trends and just the, the way the city wants to grow and become a living breathing entity. These codes basically shake that environment. And we welcome. 395 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:53:21.400 --> 00:53:41.400 Feedback that you may have and any involvement that you would like to have also to the board members of the public. You do have to deputy chief building officials and the enforcement chief as well as the chief of inspections all at, from DLB, serving on the board. So, we. 396 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:53:41.400 --> 00:53:55.110 They're all here to help, make sure that this, uh, this rule making goes forward, the new codes get adopted, and then we implement and enforce them as as we see fit. All right. 397 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:53:55.110 --> 00:54:07.740 Thank you thank you. Thank you. All right. Moving on to update on the tag. Uh, this was something that we all you know, we, we actually were just talking about. 398 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:54:07.740 --> 00:54:12.420 I want to bring it over here, so you can see. 399 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:54:15.570 --> 00:54:18.570 Nope, this is the technical. This is the wrong 1. 400 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:54:18.570 --> 00:54:30.030 There to go apologize. 3rd. 401 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:54:50.030 --> 00:55:03.810 Here we go live. Yeah, let's bring it over. 402 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:55:03.810 --> 00:55:11.700 Okay, it was it was brought right Mr. to I don't see anything if you're sharing. 403 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:55:11.700 --> 00:55:15.090 I thought I was maybe I'm not. 404 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:55:15.090 --> 00:55:19.264 Screen 1 screen 1. how about now? 405 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200) 00:55:19.264 --> 00:55:23.503 Nope, how about now? It's got. 406 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:55:23.503 --> 00:55:31.590 Okay, there we go. All right we have, um, let me see if I can. 407 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528) 00:55:31.590 --> 00:55:54.410 Everyone can see so in the early days, not early days, but, uh, it was it was brought to my attention back in December, uh, that, uh, the technical advisory committees, uh, lacked a little bit of structure and it it was

to know to no fault to any of the chairs.

```
408 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:55:54.410 --> 00:55:59.280
But, uh, when I shared the existing building tag, I did find it very.
409 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:55:59.280 --> 00:56:03.210
Kind of not loosey goosey, but just.
410 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:56:03.210 --> 00:56:07.590
What was my next step? What was the next thing I needed to do? And.
411 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:56:07.590 --> 00:56:22.620
Now that I'm in this position as chair, I do want to bring a little bit
more structure to some of the technical advisory committees as well as
any special committees that we have. I followed the bylaws as best I
could in order to just generate.
412 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:56:22.620 --> 00:56:42.620
A, uh, an, that just helps, um, chairs helps the body, the public body,
uh, the voting members as well as, uh, any of the individuals that are
attend those meetings. Some of the things that they have to do some of
the things that they need to be aware of when they are, uh, chairing or
being a member of that, uh, of that.
413 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:56:42.620 --> 00:56:57.630
Technical advisory group, uh, brief overview of what a technical virus
advisory group is, um, the standing tags that, uh, the board has always
had as well as the special committee that was formed, uh, at a later
414 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:56:57.630 --> 00:57:00.990
Um, those are, they're just standard.
415 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:57:00.990 --> 00:57:20.990
They've been, we can modify this at any time, but I just want to have it
down. So that everyone knows what, uh, uh, what how many committees we do
have, and and who they are, um, how to establish the committees, how a
tag chair and tag members can bring on or bring in more.
416 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:57:20.990 --> 00:57:40.990
Individuals, uh, let's say they only have 2 members. Uh, well, you need
3. so that's not the thing. You need to actually go look at and get, you
know, requesting resumes, looking for an individual that wishes to join
your tag and then submit that for the board. For review remember, these
technical advisory groups are.
417 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:57:40.990 --> 00:58:00.990
Of individuals that are knowledgeable, and experienced in, uh, that area
so that they can, uh, have a, um, comprehensive knowledge of of what
they're actually looking at reviewing discussing and there and and so
forth. Now, open meetings allows any public member, any, any person to
attend.
418 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
00:58:00.990 --> 00:58:13.560
```

And that that's great for the visibility and for the, the open, uh, DC government field. So that people know what their government is doing. But there's a difference between the voting members.

419 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:58:13.560 --> 00:58:32.160

And the members of the public those members of the public can weigh in, and they can voice their opinion during those meetings as well as they can discuss and communicate back and forth. Those are some of the questions that I saw in the chat a few meetings ago of how does the public.

420 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:58:32.160 --> 00:58:52.160

Affect change, or, uh, put in information that would either be beneficial to the tag moving forward. Um, there's a lot of individuals that want to participate in our CODA, uh, Co, creation and adoption of new codes and they, they have a voice they want they want to.

421 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:58:52.160 --> 00:59:07.920

That voting members, and the chair have to differentiate, which is which, and what they can do, and I'm laying some of this out so that it is possible for individuals to make sure that they have enough.

422 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:07.920 --> 00:59:15.990

Background enough, you know, um, meet, in order to say, look as as the chair of this tag.

423 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:15.990 --> 00:59:33.270

You know, we're done talking about this topic and we're moving on to a new or we're we're going to discuss this. So we're going to discuss that, um, to keep the meeting going. Uh, there was a couple of meetings ago. We had an issue with robert's rules and how to effectively use it. Yes.

424 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:33.270 --> 00:59:41.400

We are to use robert's rules as our guide and to use it to make sure that we are voting and we are.

425 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:41.400 --> 00:59:45.540

Uh, bringing forth proposals in a, in a, um.

426 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:45.540 --> 00:59:50.580

Very, you know, uh, in a in a good manner that that accepts.

427 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:50.580 --> 00:59:59.460

Those proposals, everyone knows what we're talking about. There's discussion, there's a rebuttal, and then there's approval or disapproval and then there's a vote.

428 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

00:59:59.460 --> 01:00:07.020

The same as had with the meetings as it relates to the technical advisory groups.

429 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)

01:00:07.020 --> 01:00:27.020

In doing, so there are certain things that you need to have and need to make sure. I didn't even know this and some of the existing building tags

```
that we have to have this information recorded. Now, this recording is
not a audio or video recording, but it has to be a recording of what.
430 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:00:27.020 --> 01:00:32.250
Discussed at that meeting meeting minutes so those types of things.
431 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:00:32.250 --> 01:00:40.530
We need to be spelled out and need to be shown. So I'm hopeful. This quy
is, uh, will help any new tag chairs.
432 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:00:40.530 --> 01:00:53.430
That we're that we're now moving forward with as well as some of them,
some of the existing tech chairs. We'll know exactly what they need to do
and moving forward on. How excuse me.
433 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:00:53.430 --> 01:00:56.490
When how they need to conduct their meetings.
434 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:00:56.490 --> 01:00:59.610
Uh, getting a little dry here, so.
435 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:00:59.610 --> 01:01:07.110
Um, voting recording of voting how that's it's all here. Uh, I was I've
taken most of this.
436 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:01:07.110 --> 01:01:12.270
From the website as well as the office of the government website.
437 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:01:12.270 --> 01:01:32.270
Um, and the, um, uh, bylaws, so all of this, uh, was was brought together
with piece mailing those several documents, uh, as well as some oral
orders that have additional requirements for public bodies. Um, there are
several.
438 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:01:32.270 --> 01:01:54.150
Oral orders, I do see a hand raise, but 3rd, I do see some medical orders
that, um, are required for this body to adhere to. So I have added those
as well as well as links to those. So those members of the board that are
taking on those new rules, as tag chairs.
439 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:01:54.150 --> 01:02:11.760
Um, this is our standard operation piece and Jeff, this is the version 8
of this draft, because I have had to send it to some, uh, several other
individuals, uh, even outside agencies to take a look at. But I wanted to
make sure that the board, uh, was aware that this is forthcoming.
440 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:02:11.760 --> 01:02:15.150
Uh, and hopefully this will help, um.
441 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:02:15.150 --> 01:02:27.240
Uh, yeah, so I, I see a member of the public with their hand up, but I do
have a question from the board member. I'm going to go to the board
member. 1st, and then.
442 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
```

```
01:02:30.663 --> 01:02:35.920
No, I just have a question. Are there any tag chairs I apologize or any
443 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:02:35.920 --> 01:02:38.095
I'm sure I'm happy to.
444 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:02:38.095 --> 01:02:53.850
Joint sit on the check and then the 2nd, part of this question is and Mr
chair. Thank you so much for putting together. This comprehensive are at
a future meeting. Are we going to have time to provide comments and go
through? I just want to make sure that.
445 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:02:53.850 --> 01:02:57.960
Uh, we have time to review it and then offer any suggestions that, um.
446 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:02:57.960 --> 01:03:04.950
May be applicable to the board, um, as Mark noted earlier and I think,
you know, that the board has operated in and.
447 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:03:04.950 --> 01:03:13.460
Different ways over its lifetime and so I just want to make sure we're
being thoughtful about the historical operations of the board and
incorporating this into that.
448 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:03:13.460 --> 01:03:33.860
Thanks for that. And I don't I don't want to bring this to the board or
review. I would do this offline with board members. This is an internal
document. Not necessarily needed to take up more time. Uh, but any member
of the board that would like to assist with this, I.
449 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:03:33.860 --> 01:03:48.810
My email's open, I'm working on this when whenever I can in order to make
sure I will pass this out to the board members to make sure that they
have a copy and then we will go from there but I do, you know, I do want
450 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:03:48.810 --> 01:04:08.810
Keep it in line with, uh, the, the, uh, uh, bylaws as well as some of the
information I got from the office of open government and Vega uh, because
there were some items in here that I did not know about and I needed to
add. So, there may be some issues in here that you have questions on,
but.
451 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:04:08.810 --> 01:04:16.461
They were put in because, uh, the office of the office of local
government needed it in there. So, um, I'm happy to take in.
452 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:04:16.461 --> 01:04:21.240
Suggestions and we yeah, I appreciate that. Mr. chair. Um, and just 1
quick follow.
453 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:04:21.240 --> 01:04:25.410
Is this is the, the.
```

```
454 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:04:25.410 --> 01:04:32.280
Is this the sole discretion of the share to the design? I'm sorry I
thought I would assume that this is something in the boards.
455 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:04:32.280 --> 01:04:43.650
Within the boards purview for the board to vote on it because my
understanding and once again, this is my ignorance. This is my 1st year
on the board, but that the tags operate on behalf of the board. So when
456 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:04:43.650 --> 01:04:46.860
Board has to approve the, that they're going to operate on to.
457 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:04:46.860 --> 01:05:07.010
I would agree that the board will weigh in, um, it's my prerogative as
chair to set up a policy and procedure, but the board does have to
approve it. So, you know, um, I took it upon myself because of some of
the questions and some of the issues that some other members had brought
up to create it.
458 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:05:07.010 --> 01:05:20.160
Uh, do seek all the help and all the assistance from the board to make it
as best it can and then I will bring it to the board, uh, for their, uh,
acceptance and adoption. So, uh, I hope that answers your question.
459 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:05:20.160 --> 01:05:25.770
Okay.
460 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:05:30.300 --> 01:05:36.180
Okay um, uh, Mr uh, Caitlin, you have your handout.
461 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:05:36.180 --> 01:05:39.990
Thank you Mr. chair John katlyn representing a.
462 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:05:39.990 --> 01:05:47.280
I'm assuming that you're going through this process for the completion of
this cycle.
463 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:05:47.280 --> 01:05:50.856
Is that would that be a correct? And then I have a follow up.
464 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:05:50.856 --> 01:05:55.337
Are you referencing? Are you referencing the code proposals?
465 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:05:55.337 --> 01:05:59.700
You referencing this document, the document that you've got in front of
you that's what we're spending on. Yeah.
466 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:05:59.700 --> 01:06:07.470
Yes, okay. I'm certainly hoping and I think over and possibly some other
organizations.
467 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:06:07.470 --> 01:06:13.110
```

```
Are going to recommend some substantial changes to future code
development processes.
468 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:06:13.110 --> 01:06:24.060
This 1 has drove out beyond belief number 1 and number 2. I think there
there would be some suggestions coming from.
469 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:06:24.060 --> 01:06:30.510
Those of us that or your client interest groups that would facilitate a
much faster process.
470 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:06:30.510 --> 01:06:43.623
And so I don't want to get into that now. That's definitely not. But this
is not the time, but I'm hoping that once this process is over and before
we start a next 1 that we're going to have input into the process.
471 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:06:43.623 --> 01:06:49.680
Thank you for that and definitely reach out. Um.
472 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:06:49.680 --> 01:07:05.850
Uh, offline on this to discuss any type of coordination or or I, I tried
to bring in the government on this, uh, not the partners not the, the
private partners for this. I don't intend to make the boards.
473 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:07:05.850 --> 01:07:12.090
Process a public debate I don't I don't intend to do that, but I'm not.
474 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:07:12.090 --> 01:07:20.383
You know, Almighty that I, I, I don't find that if, if there's a
suggestion to make this go faster where that suggestion comes from. So.
475 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:07:20.383 --> 01:07:28.560
That would publicly put it on the process is definitely needed and we
have provided it over the past 3 years, but the chairs change.
476 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:07:28.560 --> 01:07:42.690
And, of course, we're, we're providing it during 1 of the processes
right? Some neighboring jurisdictions, Virginia, and Maryland that would
look at this process and have to chuckle because it's just so long and
drawn out.
477 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:07:42.690 --> 01:07:46.260
Um, so, um, I mean, it's, it's, uh.
478 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:07:46.260 --> 01:07:49.260
It's very clear that there needs to be a fix.
479 "John Catlett" (2578862080)
01:07:49.260 --> 01:08:01.983
And so I'm hoping to have that conversation offline, and just to assume
that that the public is not going to have input into this. And that this
is all sort of a internal board thing I think is kind of a misnomer.
480 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:01.983 --> 01:08:06.810
Well, thank you for that. All right.
```

```
481 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:06.810 --> 01:08:10.890
All right next is board member explorations.
482 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:10.890 --> 01:08:16.980
This came up last week or last month, uh, with, um.
483 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:16.980 --> 01:08:21.510
Some information on when do members expire? Um.
484 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:21.510 --> 01:08:25.050
I was able to get into contact.
485 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:25.050 --> 01:08:30.900
Uh, with various members to make sure that, uh.
486 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:30.900 --> 01:08:39.690
Um, the associate of planning and infrastructure, which is the deputy
mayor's office, uh, making sure that this is, um.
487 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:39.690 --> 01:08:46.110
We're on the up and up and that you all know some of the expiration dates
of oh, I'm sorry. I'm not sharing the agenda.
488 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:08:46.110 --> 01:09:01.470
3rd here we go.
489 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:09:01.470 --> 01:09:08.102
Uh, can you all see the board members expiration dates on the agenda?
490 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:09:08.102 --> 01:09:10.982
Yes, thank you.
491 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:09:10.982 --> 01:09:15.900
So, I was able to discuss, um.
492 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:09:15.900 --> 01:09:24.120
With demo, the expiration dates of some of the board members that we have
that are now serving.
493 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:09:24.120 --> 01:09:28.470
Um, this is something that a mere oral re.
494 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:09:28.470 --> 01:09:48.470
Excuse me, so a re, issuing re, issuance of a mere rule is for
reappointment is required, uh, is something that we will have to submit
each I should say, wait, excuse me each member will have to submit, but
we can do this.
495 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:09:48.470 --> 01:10:08.470
Board I was also informed that if, if we want them all done at once, we
can do that, but we, we do have a contact over at demo that would
facilitate a smooth reappointment. If there are any members that do not
```

```
wish to be re appointment. We'll have to reach out to myself and then we
will communicate.
496 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:10:08.470 --> 01:10:14.640
With the office of the mayor as well as didn't want to make sure that
there is.
497 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:10:14.640 --> 01:10:21.180
A smooth transition for a new appointee in your stead.
498 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:10:21.180 --> 01:10:40.530
I, if people serve for 3 years, I've been serving since 2014, but I've
always been a member of the government and since I've been a minimum of
the government, I've never had to get my appointment certified. I've
always been at. Will that being said, um.
499 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:10:40.530 --> 01:10:44.160
These are your times, um.
500 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:10:44.160 --> 01:11:04.160
I can reach out, we can have a a discussion about how to submit all at
once. It's real easy. Really it's a, it's an email to the associate
director over at demo and then they'll make, they'll make the changes and
some of that what is the issue? Is if there are any members that do not
wish to continue.
501 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:11:04.160 --> 01:11:15.270
To serve as members of the board, uh, I don't know if we have any
individuals like that. Uh, most of the people that I've been serving on
this on this, uh, public body here.
502 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:11:15.270 --> 01:11:19.083
Been here since the start, I know there are some new ones, but.
503 "Anthony Dale 5C01" (1741263360)
01:11:19.083 --> 01:11:28.676
Just any quick questions to share the terms of 3 years, correct? Yes,
sir. Okay. I was just the point of last year so I think my term may be
off right?
504 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:11:28.676 --> 01:11:42.303
Going forward as you see, they, uh, they've, they've, uh, all of us are
expiring in a couple of months on. Obviously that was some important
thing because I also was not appointed for a full 4, 400.
505 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:11:42.303 --> 01:11:55.140
3 years this is and thanks. Anthony and mark on that, because I asked
specifically about each member individually. What was their expiration
date? Yeah. And what and what I received from.
506 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:11:55.140 --> 01:11:58.383
Which is what you show here and that was that.
507 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:11:58.383 --> 01:12:11.143
```

```
We've had to do in the past as private sector members to be reappointed.
We've had a form to fill out. Can you if that's still the case, can you
get us any forms that are needed? Or is that no longer.
508 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:12:11.143 --> 01:12:25.500
Needed I can there it on so there's a form for section for, uh, order
2:00:00, 9 dash 22. um, I will provide that. Um, and I'll drop, I'll put
in I'll send an email out.
509 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:12:25.500 --> 01:12:34.590
Uh, to all members, uh, to have that, and I'll also give you the contact
information for the, uh, deputy mayor's office, uh, in charge of.
510 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:12:34.590 --> 01:12:42.819
Um, uh, infrastructure planning and infrastructure demo. We didn't pet.
511 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:12:42.819 --> 01:12:51.137
Yeah, because the last time this took quite a quite a long time to, um,
uh, for processing. I guess it depends on how.
512 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:12:51.137 --> 01:12:57.317
They are so, okay. I misspoke. It's not.
513 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:12:57.317 --> 01:12:58.182
Huh.
514 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:12:58.182 --> 01:13:10.500
Mode is motor, it's the mayor's office of talent and appointment. So I
mentioned, I'm, I'm just looking at this individual signature line. They
have, like, 4:4:items here in 1 of them, but he is not a part of that.
515 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:13:10.500 --> 01:13:18.860
Uh, it's Evan blanket burger and I, I will definitely reach out to him,
get the form and then send that out to, uh, yeah. Uh, to the to the, to
the.
516 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:13:18.860 --> 01:13:23.457
For the private sector member, and let us know Chris, whether we return
the form to you or directly to the.
517 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:13:23.457 --> 01:13:31.260
Uh, this individual is asking it to come to him. Great. Thank you. I will
gather all. Thank you, sir. I'll submit that.
518 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:13:31.260 --> 01:13:38.237
Copy everybody on it. Okay.
519 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
01:13:38.237 --> 01:13:43.410
Thank you, um, hey, Chris, a couple questions 1, what is that? Will.
520 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
01:13:43.410 --> 01:13:49.200
And 2, when exactly did I resigned.
521 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:13:49.200 --> 01:14:05.910
```

```
Oh, hey um, Michael, it's mark. I, um, I noticed that peculiar
designation next to your name while Danielle was chair, and I brought it
up to her and copied you on the email. Yeah and Danielle did not.
522 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:14:05.910 --> 01:14:16.959
Uh, there there was no action taken, so I don't know either. So, um,
that's why I asked about you earlier in the meeting cause I'm curious
cause you're a very, uh, uh, constant member of.
523 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:14:16.959 --> 01:14:21.330
Board for a very long time. Yeah, I know. Um.
524 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:14:21.330 --> 01:14:30.480
So, the that will is we serve, uh, either as a designee by either the
director or the mayor. Um.
525 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:14:30.480 --> 01:14:38.310
Director being appointed and confirmed to serve so we are at their best.
526 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:14:38.310 --> 01:14:50.460
So, if the director wants to come up and say, nope, I don't want you
there, then I'll, you know, I step down and you sit down Mr. or, uh, Mr.
Parsons. So it's.
527 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:14:50.460 --> 01:14:53.670
It's at that behest, but we do have to register.
528 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:14:53.670 --> 01:14:57.159
With Moto so that we are noted.
529 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:14:57.159 --> 01:15:00.199
Has a public vote as a member Yep.
530 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:15:00.199 --> 01:15:06.450
Yeah, thank you mark, but, um, the recording resign um, this was.
531 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:15:06.450 --> 01:15:21.120
The this agenda went out Monday, uh, even though we had to make
amendments to it, but this gentleman out Monday, uh, I have since had an
email, uh, with Mr blinking burger. I have not had our response yet on
your status. So it's.
532 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:15:21.120 --> 01:15:28.140
It's pending, you know, not pending. You're on the board no. Cause I have
your approval letter, but.
533 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:15:28.140 --> 01:15:32.095
It's pending in their system why you're still noted as that.
534 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
01:15:32.095 --> 01:15:42.600
Okey Dokey. I was hoping I was still a member of this austere, austere or
off.
535 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:15:42.600 --> 01:15:46.921
```

```
We thought maybe you were hoping that you were no longer a member.
536 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
01:15:46.921 --> 01:15:52.297
Well, I, I haven't had that thought in the back of my mind, at least.
537 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:15:52.297 --> 01:15:53.259
A dozen times.
538 "Michael Brown" (1110208256)
01:15:53.259 --> 01:15:57.839
Yes, sir.
539 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:15:57.839 --> 01:16:03.780
All right, uh, that concludes all the administrative, um.
540 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:16:03.780 --> 01:16:10.020
Let's go to comments any comments by the board members. Outstanding of
the agenda.
541 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:16:10.020 --> 01:16:19.998
To stop there, we go.
542 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:19.998 --> 01:16:28.290
Mr. chair. I have a quick question. Um, I've been looking ahead to the
the road.
543 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:28.290 --> 01:16:32.460
To submission for our notice post rulemaking and.
544 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:32.460 --> 01:16:41.910
Uh, our legislative director here at the shared that there's a cover
sheet that needs to accompany the proposals and, um.
545 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:41.910 --> 01:16:46.140
That that cover sheet has gotten increasingly detailed over the years.
546 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:46.140 --> 01:16:51.180
And, um, just wanted to make sure that is able to.
547 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:51.180 --> 01:16:58.590
Represent the tags, uh, I don't know the level of detail that's required
for that cover sheet for the.
548 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:16:58.590 --> 01:17:04.440
Notice a proposal making, so I just want to make sure that if that's
something the textures or or.
549 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:17:04.440 --> 01:17:10.756
Needed to help contribute to that that that that's, um, that ask is
circulated as soon as.
550 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:17:10.756 --> 01:17:19.410
Possible too. That's a great question. Uh, Casey, um, in the past, um,
it's been handled, uh, by.
551 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
```

```
01:17:19.410 --> 01:17:31.660
And the tag chairs have not, uh, had to advise, except on a, on a, um,
technical code matter.
552 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:17:31.660 --> 01:17:47.657
So, I'm aware of the form, but it may be different from what you're
suggesting. I would like to compare. Also I have some unfortunate news
art. We have lost our legislative support, but we will be looking to get.
553 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:17:47.657 --> 01:17:50.758
Additional, our 2nd legislative support, we lost.
554 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:17:50.758 --> 01:18:01.440
We lost for a 2nd legislative. Thank you mark. Um, we were getting some
more, um, assigned, uh, and I definitely will be bringing this up
because.
555 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:01.440 --> 01:18:05.100
When I went to, uh, there's there's a lot of.
556 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:05.100 --> 01:18:12.330
There's a lot of regulatory, um, hoop jumping that we've got to do with
this notes of group. Both we're making.
557 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:12.330 --> 01:18:20.640
And I'm hoping that the form that I found matches what you have um, I did
not, I don't have it here because it.
558 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:20.640 --> 01:18:23.700
I I don't I don't do legislative.
559 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:23.700 --> 01:18:37.020
Overview I, I do code review and that, um, it's something that I'm
pushing forward up the chain to our office because, uh, the 1 I have 3
pages long and it.
560 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:37.020 --> 01:18:41.760
It has a lot of information that seems to be just.
561 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:41.760 --> 01:18:48.450
Reporting back to old laws, old, rags, old things that are happening.
562 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:18:48.450 --> 01:19:04.830
I don't there's not much that I saw that the tag would have to do, but
there was a lot of like, uh, referring to code referring to official code
and the, like. So, I would like to reach out to you and find out what you
have and compare notes. Um, but.
563 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:19:04.830 --> 01:19:13.170
I'm trying to get some confirmation on what our, our, since we don't have
a legislative support at this time.
564 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:19:13.170 --> 01:19:18.574
Can do on that, uh, to help get this pushed along.
```

```
565 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:19:18.574 --> 01:19:30.450
When the section that caught my eye was just, um, seeking summary of the
proposal. Like W, what is it that we're submitting for review to
summarize the.
566 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:19:30.450 --> 01:19:37.878
Intent or the, the outcome impact, rather of the proposed, uh,
rulemaking.
567 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:19:37.878 --> 01:19:47.040
The form I have does not have any summary language requirement. It it
literally is just.
568 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:19:47.040 --> 01:19:50.310
What regs are you are adopting under what.
569 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:19:50.310 --> 01:20:08.499
You know, powers can you, uh, modify this Reg? Uh, it is, you know, uh,
which cycle it is it's a lot of lot of, uh, you know, code cody's code
language in there. So, I, I don't think ours is gonna match up, but let
me see what you have. Yeah. Uh, so that I can, I can.
570 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:20:08.499 --> 01:20:14.700
And, um, it's mark, uh, guys that the, um, previous.
571 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:20:14.700 --> 01:20:26.700
Chair Jill stern up, I know had to spend an awful lot of time on that
document. So, um, I think it's more than what you may have found. Uh,
Chris.
572 "casey studhalter" (4030730496)
01:20:31.212 --> 01:20:37.615
I'll send it to you, Chris. I appreciate it.
573 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:20:37.615 --> 01:20:41.250
Any additional comments from the board.
574 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:20:45.390 --> 01:20:51.300
Okay, are going to members of the public here? Um, uh.
575 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:20:51.300 --> 01:20:57.540
Well, um, I just saw hand drop, but I, I do have comments in the chat. So
I'm going to address some of the comments in the chat.
576 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:20:57.540 --> 01:21:07.860
Um, we have an individual, uh, looking for, uh, permanent name and
notification rights and protocols.
577 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:21:07.860 --> 01:21:25.350
Very much aware of this information in this individual and I know Mark
betterment has been on communications with him. Uh, Mr. uh, that time
will come when, uh, as a, uh, um, a member public member you can, uh.
578 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:21:25.350 --> 01:21:37.500
```

```
Seek to get the residential the building with the administrative tag all
of which will have a hand in that. And I would.
579 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:21:37.500 --> 01:21:42.180
Suggest that you attend those meetings so that you can.
580 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:21:42.180 --> 01:21:50.760
The individuals that are voting members, uh, with all of the information
and all of the approval, or.
581 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:21:50.760 --> 01:22:10.760
Circumstance or any type of evidence that you have, that would help sway
your case in 1 way, or the other. Because at that time, the tag will have
to look at whether or not that proposal. If you need access to the actual
language we have our, the code is.
582 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:22:10.760 --> 01:22:21.510
Fine, it's, it's available at at any time for you to download so that you
can review and go forward. And then if you have any proposals or that,
you would like to present. Um.
583 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:22:21.510 --> 01:22:31.215
Please take your time work through it, work with the tag and see if a
proposal can be had to amend the name of notification section.
584 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:22:31.215 --> 01:22:42.416
Well, and they would submit that during the time that's available for
notice the proposal making responses and then tag would consider it our
tags.
585 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:22:42.416 --> 01:22:54.836
Thank you and Robert, you you have your end. Okay, so I'm on you. Uh, you
have a public access track rules, be discussed you should come up with.
586 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:22:54.836 --> 01:22:58.380
Mm, hmm. Yeah, I'm here. Hi.
587 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:22:58.380 --> 01:23:04.920
I thank you for recognizing me. Yeah, I had that question in the
comments, which is an overarching.
588 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:23:04.920 --> 01:23:12.210
Comment, um, you know, today the board discussed the, the draft rules,
and also.
589 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:23:12.210 --> 01:23:17.490
A new standard operating procedure, but the public didn't have access to
either of those documents.
590 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:23:22.995 --> 01:23:37.380
No, that 1 is an internal document for the board. Um, and it will be
available for the public. Once it gets approved, uh, as well as the, the
rulemaking. Um, all of our meeting minutes are available.
591 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
```

```
01:23:37.380 --> 01:23:49.110
Uh, for the public to digest at any time, uh, not until they are
approved, will they go forward and the public will have access to them so
you, you're not going to get.
592 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:23:49.110 --> 01:23:53.176
The meet every meeting for that, you have to wait for the approval.
593 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:23:53.176 --> 01:23:57.354
To go forward, which require approval before the public.
594 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:23:57.354 --> 01:24:08.111
Any any, any, actually, I'll have to find out all that, because that's an
interesting question. Which documents even internal business.
595 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:24:08.111 --> 01:24:11.637
Documents right all they're all public documents. They're all public.
596 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:24:11.637 --> 01:24:14.537
Yeah, but they're not complete. They're still draft.
597 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:24:14.537 --> 01:24:17.774
It doesn't matter, it doesn't matter. The public has access to.
598 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:24:17.774 --> 01:24:23.758
Rules that are being debated final. I don't know. Just like they do on a
code change. That is, um.
599 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:24:23.758 --> 01:24:25.559
Its way through the process, right?
600 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:24:25.559 --> 01:24:32.070
So, we don't have the, the board does not have a public facing.
601 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:24:32.070 --> 01:24:38.100
Repository or record folder for that we've never had.
602 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:24:38.100 --> 01:24:49.177
Um, but but Chris, we do, have we do display our, um, the mayor's order,
and we do display our bylaws and it's simply a matter of adding other
similar documents.
603 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:24:49.177 --> 01:24:53.137
That guide or process perhaps. Yeah, but those would have to be added to
the website.
604 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:24:53.137 --> 01:24:56.077
This would have right but that's a website.
605 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:24:56.077 --> 01:25:01.295
Yeah, but okay, you see yeah really easy.
606 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:25:01.295 --> 01:25:03.817
Sorry, I'm trying to answer.
```

```
607 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:25:03.817 --> 01:25:05.988
Box access to the board. Yeah.
608 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:25:05.988 --> 01:25:11.400
Yeah, I mean, for for instance, you post the agenda on the website.
609 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:25:11.400 --> 01:25:17.250
Uh, each month, it would be helpful if any documents being discussed, or
also posted there.
610 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:25:17.250 --> 01:25:20.310
That's my overarching comment.
611 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:25:20.310 --> 01:25:36.275
As it relates to the new standard operating procedure, um, I didn't
because I don't have access to it. I couldn't dive into it but how, how
does that align with or contradict the current bylaws as it relates to,
uh, the role of the tag? Um, it seems seems fairly similar.
612 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:25:36.275 --> 01:25:44.130
To me yeah, it is. It is. Um, an, in the, by law, I mean, they were
literally side by side.
613 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:25:44.130 --> 01:25:48.300
Um, and in doing, so they.
614 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:25:48.300 --> 01:26:01.500
Give a little bit more, um, how to the bylaws are very, you know, now
shall not do this now shall do this. Well, that doesn't really help an
individual when they're actually, you know, in the thick of it.
615 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:26:01.500 --> 01:26:12.180
Going through a meeting, you know, how to conduct a meeting, or how to go
through how to vote you know, how do you take the role and stuff like
that? Um.
616 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:26:12.180 --> 01:26:18.270
And plus it was also some, some ability for, uh, the, that, uh, members.
617 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:26:18.270 --> 01:26:27.900
That body to, to, to use it as they see fit. So it's not as rigid to to
have, you know, every instance called out.
618 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:26:27.900 --> 01:26:30.900
But the bylaws are very, very minimal.
619 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:26:30.900 --> 01:26:43.695
And that's what that is. So, is that I'll, I'll try, I'll try to see if I
can get this information on the website. Now is it on the website or is
it open.
620 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:26:43.695 --> 01:26:52.059
```

```
Dot Gov, it's on the web. Those items I referred Chris are on are on the
website under in the folder. Mm. Hmm.
621 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:26:52.059 --> 01:27:06.570
You know, the current bylaws allow non voting members to be members of
the tag. Uh, but those numbers have to be appointed by the board, not by
the tag. So I think that's an important point to keep in mind.
622 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:27:06.570 --> 01:27:10.577
And that has been made and that's maintained Rob. Yes.
623 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:27:10.577 --> 01:27:14.940
Thank you, thank you. And the other point is that, uh.
624 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:27:14.940 --> 01:27:21.690
You know, I'm just going back to the sort of 1st agenda that you put out
when you became chair.
625 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:27:21.690 --> 01:27:38.930
Uh, Mr chair, uh, about how to defer in a lot of the work to the tag. I
think it's fine, uh, to defer work, uh, to the tech. So, long as the full
board still has the primary authority to adopt or reject and and also to
discuss, um, I think that's.
626 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:27:38.930 --> 01:27:44.550
Important for an open and it does it does it. Oh, that never that never
would go away.
627 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:27:44.550 --> 01:27:48.090
Um, I, I, I think what you're referring to is.
628 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:27:48.090 --> 01:27:55.470
Um, discussion, um, deep discussion and information that has already
been.
629 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:27:55.470 --> 01:28:06.960
Or new information that's being brought in. Excuse me to be have that at
the, at the board level I have no problem with open discussion on any
proposal that's put before the board.
630 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:28:06.960 --> 01:28:13.410
On the proposal that is put before the board, if there needs to be
further discussion on.
631 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:28:13.410 --> 01:28:28.848
What about this? What about that? And have you thought about this that
needs to be pushed back to the tag because they're the technical experts
responsible for addressing those things as well as the time to actually
go through, um, you know, new information or in depth.
632 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:28:28.848 --> 01:28:33.410
Information at that level. Mm. Hmm. The board should be looking at
proposals that are ready to be voted.
633 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
```

```
01:28:33.410 --> 01:28:41.971
On and that had been vetted and gone through. Now, if the board itself
wants to debate what is being presented, how that that's why we're here.
634 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:28:41.971 --> 01:28:43.848
Right, right? Okay.
635 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:28:43.848 --> 01:29:00.200
Hey, Rob, it's mark. Um, and Chris, I, um, appreciate it, uh, chris's
draft that I was reading of the, uh, his standard operating procedure,
because it does affirm something that we were aware of and that, uh, uh,
you know, Chris is.
636 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:29:00.200 --> 01:29:04.756
Trying to get the tags to be as efficient as possible.
637 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:29:04.756 --> 01:29:06.295
To use a positive turn.
638 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:29:06.295 --> 01:29:20.200
And, and yet, um, and encouraging board members to attend tag meetings,
however, Chris has added in his standard operating procedure that if so
many board members attend to tag meeting that, suddenly there's a.
639 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:29:20.200 --> 01:29:29.558
Board quorum and that type meeting is has problems. So right there there
are issues as we move forward that, um, uh, we need to be.
640 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:29:29.558 --> 01:29:36.780
Sensitive to mm. Hmm. Let's let's Thank you. That's that's helpful. And
then, uh, my, my final, my final comments is, um.
641 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:29:36.780 --> 01:29:45.570
Uh, you know, there there exists inherent danger, uh, when
appointing members of the public.
642 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:29:45.570 --> 01:29:56.790
Embedded members of the public, um, to to a tag for the existence of
conflicts of interest, or the perception conflicts of interest.
643 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:29:56.790 --> 01:30:02.190
And I quickly noted that you did mention conflicts of interest in your.
644 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:02.190 --> 01:30:07.680
Um, but this that process of disclosure.
645 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:07.680 --> 01:30:12.900
In a recusal should be made very clear.
646 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:12.900 --> 01:30:16.590
In my opinion, just as the board members.
647 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:16.590 --> 01:30:21.090
Um, of the are subject to.
```

```
648 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:21.090 --> 01:30:24.870
Vegas rules about conflicts of interest in.
649 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:24.870 --> 01:30:29.160
Um, the tag members should also be subject to those.
650 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:29.160 --> 01:30:32.670
Um, you know, just upon my, uh.
651 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:32.670 --> 01:30:37.440
My brief experience participating in some of the tag meetings.
652 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:37.440 --> 01:30:43.560
You know, you've got, you've got people, um, you know, I think all of us
come with our own biases.
653 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:43.560 --> 01:30:49.410
To these discussions, and it's important that somebody is voting that
those biases are known.
654 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:30:49.410 --> 01:30:53.484
And that there are processes to ensure.
655 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:30:53.484 --> 01:31:02.240
So, Rob, um, I, and I appreciate that because all the voting members have
to be approved.
656 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:31:02.240 --> 01:31:03.560
By the board.
657 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:31:03.560 --> 01:31:09.000
But the public body is open, so you're going to have.
658 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:31:09.000 --> 01:31:13.470
Anybody come in that that knows about that meeting. Are you suggesting
that.
659 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:31:13.470 --> 01:31:16.961
The tag screen those.
660 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:31:16.961 --> 01:31:20.340
I don't think that's what I'm hearing the voting members of the tag.
661 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:31:20.340 --> 01:31:28.441
Yeah, they should voting members of the tag should be educated about the
rules yes. That they are subject to.
662 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:31:28.441 --> 01:31:31.083
I agree that they understand about.
663 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:31:31.083 --> 01:31:33.224
Disclosure procedures as well as.
664 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
```

```
01:31:33.224 --> 01:31:54.080
Procedures it's Hi, Rob, it's mark. Fetterman again. Um, I, uh,
completely support your thoughts and will say that on the tag meetings
that I've attended over the years. Um, that has been, uh, very clearly
understood by the voting members that, um, they needed to, uh, recused
themselves.
665 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:31:54.080 --> 01:32:06.438
If there was a conflict, and we have had that issue, um, occur at the tag
level, and at the board level, and I will say with some pride people have
acted responsibly in.
666 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:32:06.438 --> 01:32:09.760
In the past. That's great. That's great to hear. Thank you mark.
667 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:32:09.760 --> 01:32:16.684
And that's something that we can we can work on as, uh, as this draft
moves.
668 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:16.684 --> 01:32:19.320
Moves forward with having 1 comments.
669 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:32:19.320 --> 01:32:20.443
670 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:20.443 --> 01:32:25.769
Joe, yes. Yep. So in my 7 years of being on here, whenever we.
671 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:25.769 --> 01:32:30.959
Yeah, appointed, um, members to the tags to be voting members.
672 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:30.959 --> 01:32:35.939
I personally, I think others who've been on this board for a while, I've
always looked at.
673 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:35.939 --> 01:32:45.546
Very carefully at the, if the person's background to ensure that we
didn't have a building up of a bias, for instance, multiple individuals
from a single organization.
674 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:32:45.546 --> 01:32:48.262
Try to get on a board to try to sweet. Sweet.
675 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:48.262 --> 01:32:54.479
The boards vote and move it to that. Same thing. I think the state card
the has has.
676 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:32:54.479 --> 01:33:06.209
That the tag is merely an advisory committee that brings its advisory.
It's advice to the CCB for us to vote upon and we often and I think.
677 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:33:06.209 --> 01:33:20.519
To further expand on that. I think we've always done kind of what Chris
Bailey has said it is operating procedures posted at the beginning of the
```

```
year, which we've always kind of, uh, ask questions of the chair of the
tag where they came and presented to the.
678 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:33:20.519 --> 01:33:25.349
And in an effort to not bog down our meetings in the past, and it seemed
679 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:33:25.349 --> 01:33:34.859
The last chair seemed to kind of go Ray, right? Oh, we used to just
simply send that questions to the tag, as opposed to massive debates that
went on for a half hour.
680 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:33:34.859 --> 01:33:49.865
And I think that's what Chris has re, aligning it to. So, what the hell
it used to be or if we add questions, board members would ask questions
and we send those questions. If the, if the chairman of the tag can
answer that you would, if not, we simply send it back to the tag to come
back to us.
681 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:33:49.865 --> 01:33:55.199
Yeah, yeah, that's that's helpful. That's helpful. I mean, like.
682 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:33:55.199 --> 01:34:00.359
There's a, there's a, a needle to be threaded here between.
683 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:00.359 --> 01:34:03.869
Using the tags to make the processes more efficient.
684 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:03.869 --> 01:34:08.279
Without delegated undue authority to non.
685 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:08.279 --> 01:34:11.849
Formerly appointed members of the public, right?
686 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:11.849 --> 01:34:20.729
Um, so if the board continues to have the authority and to exercise it
ultimately debate proposals that are coming from the tag.
687 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:20.729 --> 01:34:29.646
And I see no issue with that. If the board becomes more of a rubber stamp
for work that's done at the tag level. That's when it becomes
problematic.
688 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:34:29.646 --> 01:34:35.941
Rob, Rob, have you have you been to a couple of board meetings? We don't.
We don't have.
689 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:35.941 --> 01:34:50.509
That we don't have that problem. Well, I know, right, but like, your 1st
meeting is chairperson, right? Like, you made it clear that you wanted to
move a lot of the work from the board level to the tag level? Right? So,
my perspective here is that that's fine for the sake.
690 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:50.509 --> 01:34:55.169
```

```
Efficiency, as long as the decision making.
691 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:55.169 --> 01:34:59.969
Processes are not actually being made at the tag level.
692 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:34:59.969 --> 01:35:06.119
That the board has a real opportunity to debate and discuss and not to
defer to on a.
693 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:35:06.119 --> 01:35:09.501
On appointed members of the.
694 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:35:09.501 --> 01:35:15.089
And I think I've answered that I really do feel that.
695 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:35:15.089 --> 01:35:35.089
We as a board are very pragmatic, and we can understand that when a tag
is proposing a proposal, we will look at it with the information
presented and make determinations based off the information that we have
based on the information that has been presented and ask those questions
as, as Joel has has mentioned.
696 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:35:35.089 --> 01:35:55.089
And then debate on those merits alone if there are questions, that are
outstanding. We can push them back as which has already been said, I just
did not want to have open debate conversation on every proposal at the
board level. When it has already been, looked at. And conversate, we can,
we can debate whether or not the.
697 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:35:55.089 --> 01:36:01.559
Proposals ready, but that information, and if if there's new, if there's
missing, if there's anything like that.
698 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:36:01.559 --> 01:36:14.501
We, we, uh, we will we will decide that as a body and push that back down
for more information and more clarity, uh, or or deny it, um, you know,
based upon, uh, what's being presented.
699 "Rob Hawkins" (1673862912)
01:36:14.501 --> 01:36:17.860
Hope that answers yeah, thank you for the.
700 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:36:17.860 --> 01:36:27.209
I'm not a problem, um, and that's kind of why I wanted to have this open
discussion for cause. We never really had the public, um, able to
comment.
701 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:36:27.209 --> 01:36:31.829
But I do want to keep it point to the.
702 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:36:31.829 --> 01:36:36.389
I'm just going back and looking through. Did I miss anything?
703 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:36:36.389 --> 01:36:43.324
Um, there's not appear. All right.
```

```
704 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:36:43.324 --> 01:36:54.029
Chris, uh, Mark Fetterman, 1 last comment in deference to the former
chairs from that and myself that have.
705 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:36:54.029 --> 01:37:01.599
Shared this group, um, there has been a, uh, opportunity at every
meeting. I'm aware of for public comment by members of the.
706 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:37:01.599 --> 01:37:06.119
The public okay I'll stand corrected. I don't know. I mean, any.
707 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:37:06.119 --> 01:37:09.239
You know, um, that it was not at.
708 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:37:09.239 --> 01:37:21.430
I remember us meeting up on the 7th floor and with a tape recorder. So
that's what I remember. Oh, okay. Um, no offense taken.
709 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:37:21.430 --> 01:37:23.054
And I hope no offense was.
710 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:37:23.054 --> 01:37:27.749
No, not at all. Thank you. I remember that tape recorder stopping. You
need to.
711 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:37:27.749 --> 01:37:34.874
Started again, start the meeting, get the tape recorder working again. We
feel a long way. I think that we do the variable process now.
712 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:37:34.874 --> 01:37:38.455
A lot more open them up. We, we thought we were high tech and we had any.
713 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:37:38.455 --> 01:37:41.050
Digital recording yeah.
714 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:37:41.050 --> 01:37:45.336
Work so, yeah. Okay. You know, until we ran out of, uh, digital tape.
715 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:37:45.336 --> 01:37:50.338
A digital space yeah. Yeah. The entire agency couldn't.
716 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:37:50.338 --> 01:37:53.209
The forum, I think at the time there was like another card.
717 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:37:53.209 --> 01:38:04.739
Or something now, they're, they're gigabytes. Okay. Uh, I want to thank
everyone who, uh, is an attendant, uh, both the board members and public.
718 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:38:04.739 --> 01:38:11.159
Thank you for your information I've gathered and will make changes.
719 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:38:11.159 --> 01:38:24.419
```

```
Uh, reach out to me, if there were after action items, uh, I know there
was a whole bunch, and we will definitely work on getting this notes. My
goal is to get this thing out this month.
720 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:38:24.419 --> 01:38:28.559
So ready to get this notice out. Um, but, um.
721 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:38:28.559 --> 01:38:33.393
Uh, can I get a motion to adjourn?
722 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:38:33.393 --> 01:38:39.213
Motion to adjourn wait a 2nd.
723 "Jeff Viano" (3613232128)
01:38:39.213 --> 01:38:44.732
3rd, this is Jeff.
724 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:38:44.732 --> 01:38:52.169
Motion has been, uh, motion has been seconded.
725 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:38:52.169 --> 01:39:09.693
we are adjourned thank you it is twelve seventeen pm on march twenty
first the meeting board meeting is adjourned thank you all for your
attendance and your support great rescue day it's going to be rainy this
726 "Marc Fetterman" (3639955200)
01:39:09.693 --> 01:39:10.771
So, soak it up.
727 "Joel Causey" (1766343168)
01:39:10.771 --> 01:39:11.992
Now, Chris.
728 "Christopher Bailey" (2990486528)
01:39:11.992 --> 01:39:17.012
Hey, Chris. Thanks.
```